

Exercise for Scattering Amplitude (F, T6)

Problem set 8, due to 10 July, 2019

- 1) Consider the four-gluon MHV amplitude and the following BCJ representation for the three subamplitudes

$$A_4(1, 2, 3, 4) = ig_{YM}^2 \left(\frac{n_s}{s} + \frac{n_u}{u} \right) ,$$

$$A_4(1, 3, 4, 2) = ig_{YM}^2 \left(-\frac{n_t}{t} - \frac{n_s}{s} \right) , \quad (*)$$

$$A_4(1, 4, 2, 3) = ig_{YM}^2 \left(\frac{n_t}{t} - \frac{n_u}{u} \right) ,$$

with the three numerators n_s, n_u and n_t fulfilling the numerator equation $n_u + n_t = n_s$. The full amplitude \mathcal{A}_4 reads

$$\mathcal{A}_4 = \frac{c_s n_s}{s} + \frac{c_u n_u}{u} + \frac{c_t n_t}{t} ,$$

with kinematic factors fulfilling the Jacobi relation $c_u + c_t = c_s$. The same equations are supposed to hold for an other set of numerators \tilde{n}_s, \tilde{n}_u and \tilde{n}_t .

- a) We may choose the following numerators:

$$n_s = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4 [21]}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle}, \quad n_u = 0, \quad n_t = \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^4 [21]}{\langle 23 \rangle \langle 34 \rangle \langle 41 \rangle} .$$

As a consequence

$$A_4(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+) = ig_{YM}^2 \frac{n_s}{s} ,$$

i.e. it appears that for this subamplitude there are no contributions from contact terms nor terms accounting for the u -channel. To which amplitude computation does this choice correspond to? Verify all relations (*) by using the explicit amplitude expressions for the three MHV four-gluon subamplitudes A_4 .

- b) We may cast $A_4(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+)$ into the following form:

$$A_4(1^-, 2^-, 3^+, 4^+) = -i g_{YM}^2 \frac{\langle 12 \rangle^2 [34]^2}{su} \quad (**).$$

Prove this relation (**). Determine a set of numerators \tilde{n}_s and \tilde{n}_u (subject to $\tilde{n}_u + \tilde{n}_t = \tilde{n}_s$), which appears naturally after partial fractioning the expression (**). With these numerators verify all relations (*) by using the explicit amplitude expressions for the three MHV four-gluon subamplitudes A_4 .

- c) Compute the full amplitude \mathcal{A}_4 for both sets of numerators n_i and \tilde{n}_i and verify that both expressions are the same.